# **EB** MEDICINE

# **EMERGENCY MEDICINE PRACTICE** EBMEDICINE.NET

AN EVIDENCE-BASED APPROACH TO EMERGENCY MEDICINE

# **Emergency Management** of Renal and **Genitourinary Trauma: Best Practices Update**

#### Abstract

In up to 10% of patients who experience abdominal trauma, renal and urogenital systems will be involved. In polytrauma patients with other potentially life-threatening injuries, renal and genitourinary trauma may be overlooked initially, but a delayed or missed diagnosis of these injuries may result in preventable complications. This review provides a best-practice approach to the diagnosis and management of renal and genitourinary injuries, with an emphasis on the systematic approach needed to identify subtle injuries and avoid long-term urinary sequelae such as hypertension, incontinence, erectile dysfunction, chronic kidney disease, and nephrectomy.

#### **Editor-In-Chief**

Andy Jagoda, MD, FACEP Professor and Chair, Department of Emergency Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, Medical Director, Mount Sinai Hospital, New York, NY

#### Associate Editor-In-Chief Kaushal Shah, MD, FACEP

Associate Professor, Department of Emergency Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York NY

#### **Editorial Board** Saadia Akhtar, MD

Associate Professor, Department of Emergency Medicine, Associate Dean for Graduate Medical Education, Steven A. Godwin, MD, FACEP Professor and Chair, Departmen Program Director, Emergency Medicine Residency, Mount Sinai Beth Israel, New York, NY

#### William J. Brady, MD

Professor of Emergency Medicine and Medicine; Chair, Medical Emergency Response Committee; Medical Director, Emergency Management, University of Virginia Medical Center, Charlottesville, VA

#### Calvin A. Brown III, MD

Director of Physician Compliance, Credentialing and Urgent Care Services, Department of Emergency Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA

#### Peter DeBlieux, MD

Professor of Clinical Medicine, Interim Public Hospital Director of Emergency Medicine Services, Louisiana State University Health Science Center, New Orleans, LA

#### Daniel J. Egan, MD

Associate Professor, Department of Emergency Medicine, Program Director, Emergency Medicine Residency, Mount Sinai St. Luke's Roosevelt, New York, NY

Nicholas Genes, MD, PhD Associate Professor, Department of Emergency Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY

Michael A. Gibbs, MD, FACEP Professor and Chair, Department of Emergency Medicine, Carolinas Medical Center, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC

Professor and Chair, Department of Emergency Medicine, Assistant Dean. Simulation Education. University of Florida COM-Jacksonville, Jacksonville, FL

Joseph Habboushe, MD MBA Assistant Professor of Emergency Medicine, NYU/Langone and Bellevue Medical Centers, New York, NY: CEO, MD Aware LLC

#### Gregory L. Henry, MD, FACEP Clinical Professor, Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Michigan Medical School; CEO, Medical Practice Risk Assessment, Inc., Ann Arbor, MI

John M. Howell, MD. FACEP Clinical Professor of Emergency Medicine, George Washington University, Washington, DC; Director of Academic Affairs, Best Practices, Inc, Inova Fairfax Hospital, Falls Church, VA

Shkelzen Hoxhaj, MD, MPH, MBA Chief Medical Officer, Jackson Memorial Hospital, Miami, FL

Eric Legome, MD Chair, Emergency Medicine, Mount Sinai West & Mount Sinai St. Luke's; Vice Chair, Academic Affairs for Emergency Medicine, Mount Sinai Health System, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY

Keith A. Marill, MD Assistant Professor, Department of Emergency Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA

Charles V. Pollack Jr., MA, MD, FACEP

Professor and Senior Advisor for Interdisciplinary Research and Clinical Trials, Department of Emergency Medicine, Sidney Kimmel Medical College of Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA

Michael S. Radeos, MD, MPH Associate Professor of Emergency Medicine, Weill Medical College of Cornell University, New York; Research Director, Department of Emergency Medicine, New York Hospital Queens, Flushing, NY

Ali S. Raja, MD, MBA, MPH Vice-Chair, Emergency Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA

Robert L. Rogers, MD, FACEP, FAAEM, FACP Assistant Professor of Emergency Medicine, The University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD

#### **August 2017** Volume 19, Number 8

Authors

Whitney K. Bryant, MD, MPH, MEd Assistant Professor, Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH

Sanjay Shewakramani, MD, FACEP Assistant Professor, Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH

#### **Peer Reviewers**

#### Suzanne Bryce, MD

Assistant Professor, Assistant Program Director, Department of Emergency Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN

Nadia Maria Shaukat, MD, RDMS, FACEP Director, Emergency and Critical Care Ultrasound, Department of Emergency Medicine, Coney Island Hospital, Brooklyn, NY

Prior to beginning this activity, see "Physician CME Information" on the back page.

This issue is eligible for 4 Trauma CME credits.

#### Alfred Sacchetti, MD, FACEP Assistant Clinical Professor

Department of Emergency Medicine, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA

Robert Schiller, MD Chair, Department of Family Medicine, Beth Israel Medical Center; Senior Faculty, Family Medicine and Community Health, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY

Scott Silvers, MD, FACEP Associate Professor and Chair, Department of Emergency Medicine, Mavo Clinic, Jacksonville, FL

Corey M. Slovis, MD, FACP, FACEP Professor and Chair, Department of Emergency Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN

Ron M. Walls, MD Professor and Chair, Department of Emergency Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

#### Critical Care Editors

William A. Knight IV, MD, FACEP Associate Professor of Emergency Medicine and Neurosurgery, Medical Director, EM Advanced Practice Provider Program; Associate Medical Director, Neuroscience ICU, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH

Scott D. Weingart, MD, FCCM Associate Professor of Emergency Medicine; Director, Division of ED Critical Care, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY

#### Senior Research Editors

Aimee Mishler, PharmD, BCPS Emergency Medicine Pharmacist, Maricopa Medical Center, Phoenix, AZ Joseph D. Toscano, MD Chairman, Department of Emergency Medicine, San Ramon Regional Medical Center, San Ramon, CA

#### International Editors

Peter Cameron, MD Academic Director, The Alfred Emergency and Trauma Centre, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia

Giorgio Carbone, MD Chief, Department of Emergency Medicine Ospedale Gradenigo, Torino, Italy

Suzanne Y.G. Peeters, MD Attending Emergency Physician, Flevo Teaching Hospital, Almere, The Netherlands

Hugo Peralta, MD Chair of Emergency Services, Hospital Italiano, Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dhanadol Rojanasarntikul, MD Attending Physician, Emergency Medicine, King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital, Thai Red Cross, Thailand; Faculty of Medicine Chulalongkorn University, Thailand

Stephen H. Thomas, MD, MPH Professor & Chair, Emergency Medicine, Hamad Medical Corp. Weill Cornell Medical College, Qatar; Emergency Physician-in-Chief, Hamad General Hospital, Doha, Qatar

Edin Zelihic, MD Head, Department of Emergency Medicine, Leopoldina Hospital, Schweinfurt, Germany

## **Case Presentations**

You've just arrived for a long Saturday overnight when the EMS notification pager goes off. Your first patient is a 23-year-old man who was in an altercation outside a bar. He is intoxicated, has bruises and red marks that look like shoe prints all over his abdomen, flanks, and chest, and tells you it hurts "everywhere." On his right flank, he has a 1- by 2-centimeter wound that he thinks was made by a screwdriver. You put out a trauma page, and in the interim, you wonder: will a urinalysis really help in working up this patient? What kind of special imaging is he going to need? Should I order pre-op labs?"

Into the next trauma bay arrives a 46-year-old woman, also intoxicated, who was the unrestrained and ejected passenger in a high-speed, rollover motor vehicle crash. She is hypotensive and tachycardic. She has a pelvic binder on, with a tense, swollen belly extending above it. During the resuscitation, you notice she is bleeding briskly from her vagina. Your nursing colleague asks if you want a Foley catheter. Should you place one? Do you need to page urology as well as trauma? Is it necessary to alert interventional radiology of this patient's arrival?

Since bad news comes in threes, you are immediately called to a third trauma patient. This one is a 54-year-old man who was in a high-speed motorcycle collision. He is screaming in pain and has an obvious chest wall deformity with crepitus. What he's screaming about, however, is his genitals, making a number of medical students and residents blush. He has a massive hematoma to his perineum and scrotum, a testicle that appears dislocated and free from the scrotal sack, and a deformity to his penile shaft. There is gross blood at the urethral meatus. Where do you begin in assessing this patient's genitourinary trauma? Should you place a suprapubic catheter? Is an ultrasound, CT, or MRI best for fully assessing the extent of his injury and helping your urology colleagues plan for treatment?

#### Introduction

Worldwide, approximately 250,000 traumatic renal injuries occur annually.<sup>1</sup> The urological organ most commonly injured is the kidney, followed by the testicles and the bladder.<sup>2</sup> Depending on the data set used, renal and genitourinary (GU) trauma is present in 5% to 10% of all abdominal trauma patients.<sup>3-5</sup> Over the last 20 to 30 years, the care of renal and GU injuries has evolved, becoming more conservative and expectant. Advances in military medicine, where 5% of all combat injuries are GU-related, have accelerated the pace of this change and advances in care.<sup>6</sup>

The principles of effective trauma care are to prioritize life- and limb-threatening injuries.<sup>7</sup> Blunt and penetrating trauma that affects the kidneys or other GU organs is rarely isolated, and nearly all patients with penetrating or high-grade blunt abdominal trauma have multiple injured organs.<sup>8,9</sup> As a result, renal, bladder, and other GU injuries can be missed in the rush to contend with emergent interventions.<sup>7,10,11</sup> Indeed, in one case series performed at a specialized trauma center, 20% to 25% of all bladder and urethral injuries associated with pelvic fracture were missed initially.<sup>12</sup>

Patients with GU injuries tend to be sicker than their cohorts without such injuries.<sup>13-15</sup> Missed renal and GU injuries are associated with increased morbidity and mortality.<sup>14,16</sup> Coordinated trauma care, particularly care that takes place in a designated trauma center, reduces the risk of nephrectomy and inpatient mortality in renal trauma.<sup>17</sup> **Table 1** lists potential sequelae of renal and GU injuries.<sup>16,18-20</sup>

Long-term consequences of renal and GU injuries include hypertension, chronic kidney disease, erectile dysfunction, incontinence and voiding issues, hydronephrosis, fistula, recurrent pyelonephritis, and nephrolithiasis.<sup>4,16,21,22</sup> Although hypertension is relatively rare as a late consequence (approximately 5%), it is emblematic of the need to accurately and quickly diagnose these types of injuries to avoid unnecessary morbidity.<sup>23</sup> Recognizing and initiating treatment of these non-life-threatening GU injuries is vital in reducing the incidence of future strictures, impotence and other sexual dysfunction, and incontinence.<sup>24-26</sup> This issue of Emergency Medicine Practice will review common and uncommon traumatic GU emergencies and provide a best-practice framework for diagnosis and management.

# **Critical Appraisal of the Literature**

A literature search was performed using PubMed and the services of a medical research librarian using the search terms *kidney trauma, renal trauma, ureteral trauma, bladder trauma, urethral trauma, genital trauma, penile trauma, urological trauma,* and *genitourinary trauma.* A total of 383 articles from 1968 to the present were reviewed. There are no reviews in the Cochrane Database on this topic. We searched the National Guideline Clearinghouse created by the United States Agency for Healthcare Research and

# Table 1. Potential Sequelae of Renal andGenitourinary Injuries

- Renal parenchymal scarring
- Stricture
- Thrombosis and ischemia
- Delayed nephrectomy due to bleeding, fistula, or pseudoaneurysm formation
- Urinary leaks and urinomas
- Abscess
- · Peritonitis
- Sepsis

Quality (<u>www.guideline.gov</u>). This, in addition to our literature search, yielded 5 core, evidence-based and consensus guidelines, listed in **Table 2**. We also reviewed guidelines by the American Association for the Surgery of Trauma (AAST) organ injury severity system.

The majority of recommendations on this topic are based on retrospective reviews, case studies, and consensus. Well-designed prospective trials are rare in GU trauma.<sup>27</sup> Most articles are case reports, expert opinion pieces, or single-institution retrospective case series. One sizable study randomized penetrating renal trauma patients to direct exploration of the kidney versus early vascular control. This study, and a limited number of large retrospective reviews, are the exception; the rest of the literature is weak.<sup>1,28</sup> One expert, lamenting this dearth of quality literature on GU trauma noted, "Most studies repeat the same old messages/prejudices. One could argue that there has been no major advance in the (early) treatment of urethral trauma since 1757."29 Although we disagree with that grim assessment of the recent advances in this field, expert consensus is the norm in the literature presented here.

## Epidemiology

The incidence of GU trauma is heavily skewed toward young (80% aged < 45 years) and male patients (75% of all patients).<sup>3,35</sup> The kidneys are injured in approximately 5% of all patients admitted with trauma, making them the most frequently injured GU organs, followed by the ureter and bladder.<sup>32</sup> The external genitalia are the most frequently involved GU organs in patients presenting with sports-related injuries.<sup>36</sup>

Non-iatrogenic blunt trauma mechanisms (most

commonly from motor vehicle crashes) cause approximately 90% of injuries to the GU tract.<sup>3</sup> The rates of penetrating injury are substantially higher in underdeveloped and urban areas.<sup>32</sup> Only 1% to 3% of penetrating trauma involves the kidneys or GU system, although this number appears to be rising.<sup>5,9</sup> Most penetrating trauma in the United States is the result of gunshot wounds (70%-80%), followed by stabbings.<sup>10</sup> Because the ureter is well-protected from blunt trauma by surrounding structures, it is the only GU organ that is injured more frequently by penetrating mechanisms.<sup>3</sup> Injuries due to sexual encounters comprise a minority of injuries to the GU tract,<sup>37</sup> but these are important to identify in order to screen patients further regarding sexual abuse.

# **Etiology and Pathophysiology**

For a summary of the types of injuries GU organs may sustain, see **Table 3**, **page 4**.

#### **Kidney and Ureter**

The kidneys and ureter are protected by adjacent anatomic structures, but they are suspended from the renal pedicle, without other firm attachments. As a result, blunt trauma to these organs is usually secondary to a rapid deceleration injury as opposed to being from direct trauma. The forces of deceleration can cause a spectrum of injuries to the parenchyma, from contusions to a shattering of the kidney. Additionally, the vascular supply to and from the kidney can be injured, which can lead to necrosis if left untreated.<sup>38</sup>

In contrast to the other GU organs, the main cause of ureteral injury (other than iatrogenic injury) is penetrating trauma. Although it is the least frequently injured GU organ, the ureter is injured

Guideline, Year of Last Review	Type of Guideline	Main Recommendations That Impact Emergency Care	Strength of Evidence
American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria®: Renal Trauma, 2012 <sup>30</sup>	Evidence-based; graded based on literature review	CT with IV contrast is the gold standard test in blunt and penetrating renal trauma	Strong
American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria®: Suspected Lower Urinary Tract Trauma, 2013 <sup>31</sup>	Evidence-based; graded based on literature review	<ul> <li>Indications and uses of CT cystogram and retrograde urethrogram in bladder and lower tract trauma</li> </ul>	Moderate/strong
Urotrauma: American Urological Association Guideline, 2014 <sup>32</sup>	Evidence-based; graded based on literature review	<ul><li>Imaging indications and selection in GU trauma</li><li>Operative intervention versus observation</li></ul>	Moderate/weak
Genitourinary Trauma, Diagnostic Evaluation of, Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma, 2003 <sup>33</sup>	Evidence-based; graded based on literature review	<ul> <li>Imaging after blunt trauma</li> <li>Use of CT over IV pyelogram</li> <li>Use of urinalysis after penetrating trauma</li> </ul>	Moderate/weak
European Association of Urology Guidelines on Urological Trauma, 2016 <sup>34</sup>	Evidence-based; graded based on literature review	Initial evaluation and subsequent management of GU trauma	Moderate

#### Table 2. Expert Guidelines in Renal and Genitourinary Trauma

Abbreviations: CT, computed tomography; GU, genitourinary; IV, intravenous.

in approximately 5% of penetrating wounds to the abdomen.<sup>3</sup> Penetrating trauma involving the ureter often involves simultaneous hollow viscus and vascular injuries. Ureteral injuries due to blunt trauma are usually seen in conjunction with lumbosacral spine injuries and pelvic fractures, reflecting the extreme force needed to stretch or rupture the walls of the tubular ureter.<sup>39</sup>

#### Bladder

The spectrum of bladder injuries from blunt trauma ranges from mural contusions to complete rupture, typically resulting from extreme compressive for on the bladder wall.<sup>40</sup> Ruptures are classified as intraperitoneal and extraperitoneal, with intraper toneal ruptures occurring at the superior dome of the bladder and extraperitoneal ruptures occurring along the inferior aspect of the bladder. The major ity of rupture injuries are intraperitoneal.<sup>32</sup> Pelvic fractures are often found in patients with bladder injuries, and while they typically reflect the extreme force of the trauma causing such an injury, they can also be the direct cause when the bony spicules penetrate the bladder.<sup>40</sup> Intraperitoneal bladder rupture results in urinary extravasation into the peritoneal cavity. Extraperitoneal bladder rupture similarly causes urinary extravasation, but the urine drains into the pelvic cavity.<sup>41</sup>

#### Table 3. Renal and Genitourinary Organ Injuries

#### Kidney (See Table 4, page 5)

· Vascular (renal artery/vein)

Contusion

- Ureter Contusion
- Hematoma Laceration
- Laceration
- Transection
- · Avulsion
- Urethra

Contusion

Stretch injury

transection

Partial disruption

Complete disruption/

#### Bladder

- Hematoma
- Laceration
- Rupture (Intraperitoneal/ extraperitoneal)

#### **External Genitalia**

- Scrotum
- Contusion
- Laceration
- Avulsion
- Penis
  - Laceration (including fracture)
  - Penectomy
  - Female genitalia
  - Contusion/hematoma
  - Laceration

ces	result of penetrating injuries. <sup>3</sup>
	Penetrating and blunt me
ri-	similar numbers of genital in
f	injuries that require admissio
ng	penile fractures and testicular
r_	$\frac{1}{3}$ B

Male Genitalia

Penetrating and blunt mechanisms cause milar numbers of genital injuries in men, but the juries that require admission most frequently nile fractures and testicular rupture—are typically due to blunt trauma.<sup>3</sup> Penile fractures occur most often during sexual intercourse as a result of blunt force on an erect penis, causing a rupture of the tunica albuginea, which covers the corpora cavernosa. Urethral injury accompanies penile fracture in approximately 20% of cases.<sup>42</sup> Testicular rupture occurs when extreme blunt forces cause a rupture of the tunica albuginea that covers the individual testicles, causing an extrusion of the seminiferous tubules. Penetrating injuries can cause lacerations, contusions, avulsions, and even amputation of the scrotum or penis. Zipper injuries and burns are

other possible causes of trauma.

The male urethra is divided into the posterior seg-

ment (consisting of the prostatic urethra and mem-

branous urethra) and anterior segment (consisting

Figure 1.) It is significantly longer than the female

urethra, and thus more likely to be injured.<sup>21</sup> Be-

cause of the posterior urethra's proximity to the bladder, injuries to this segment are commonly asso-

ciated with pelvic fractures and concomitant blad-

the anterior urethra is injured more commonly as a

der injuries. Due to its external location in males,

of the bulbous, penile, and glandular urethra). (See



Republished with permission of SPRINGER-VERLAG from: Congenital Anomalies of the Male Urethra, Terry L. Levin, Bokyung Han, Brent P. Little. Volume 37 ©2007. Permission conveyed through Copyright Clearance Center, Inc.

#### Female Genitalia

Female genital injury may be caused by blunt trauma, and can result in lacerations, hematomas, or significant swelling and disfigurement. In women with genital injury, associated injuries of the urethra can occur and must always be considered.<sup>32</sup>

## **Differential Diagnosis**

A range of injuries can occur for each organ of the GU tract. **Table 3 (page 4)** delineates the types of injuries, by organ, according to the American Association for the Surgery of Trauma.<sup>43,44</sup> The grading of renal injury is especially important with regard to management.<sup>45</sup> The complete grading system can be found in **Table 4** and **Figure 2**.

# **Prehospital Care**

Exsanguination from a severe kidney injury or genital injury can lead to shock. Concomitant injuries are very common and should be managed simultaneously. Pelvic fractures are seen frequently in patients with bladder or urethral trauma, and the use of a pelvic binder can decrease bleeding and aid in clot formation. Placement of a pelvic binder should be strongly considered when performing the patient's

# Table 4. American Association for theSurgery of Trauma Kidney Injury ScoringScale

Grade	Туре	Description
I	Contusion	Microscopic or gross hematuria. Negative imaging studies.
	Hematoma	Subcapsular and nonexpanding. No parenchymal laceration.
II	Hematoma	Perirenal and nonexpanding. Confined to retroperitoneum.
	Laceration	< 1-cm depth into parenchyma. Spares collecting system.
III	Laceration	> 1-cm depth into parenchyma. Spares collecting system.
IV Laceration		> 1 cm and involves collecting system with urinary extravasation.
	Vascular	Main renal artery or vein injury with contained hemorrhage.
V	Laceration	Completely shattered kidney.
	Vascular	Avulsion of renal hilum; devascularized kidney.

Ernest E. Moore, Frederick A. Moore. American Association for the Surgery of Trauma Organ Injury Scaling: 50th Anniversary Review Article of the Journal of Trauma. The Journal of Trauma-Injury Infection & Critical Care. 2010. Volume 69, Issue 6. Pages 1600-1601. Reprinted with permission of Wolters Kluwer.
DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/TA.0b013e318201124e primary survey, as there is very little disadvantage to its use.<sup>46</sup>

Obtaining history regarding the nature of the trauma can be very helpful in diagnosing and managing these patients, if this is possible to do without causing delays in transport; however, the unstable patient should be transferred expeditiously to a care facility with trauma capabilities. Isolated injuries to the GU tract do not require immediate transport to a trauma center unless the patient is hemodynamically unstable.

#### Figure 2. Diagram of The American Association for the Surgery of Trauma Grading System for Renal Injury



A: Grade I, contusion and subcapsular hematoma.

B: Grade II, superficial laceration and perirenal hematoma.

C: Grade III, deep laceration without urinary extravasation.

D: Grade IV, laceration with urinary extravasation.

E: Grade IV, main renal artery or vein injury with contained hemorrhage.

F: Grade V, shattered kidney.

G: Grade V, avulsion of renal hilum that devascularizes the kidney. Reprinted from *Uroradiology*, by Seung Hyup Kim. Reproduced with permission of Springer-Verlag Berlin and Heidelberg GmbH & Co. K via Copyright Clearance Center.

# **Emergency Department Evaluation**

#### History

The value of a history from conscious patients, witnesses, and EMS personnel cannot be overstated. In blunt trauma, a blow to the flank or rapid deceleration mechanism (eg, high-speed motor vehicle crash or fall from significant height) is suggestive of trauma to the upper GU tract. Rapid deceleration is associated with vascular injury to the kidney, such as avulsion of the vascular pedicle and renal artery thrombosis.<sup>24</sup> Some salient historical features in renal and GU trauma are common to all abdominal trauma: in motor vehicle and motorcycle crashes as well as pedestrians struck, the speed of the vehicle(s) involved and whether the patient was restrained, ejected, or airborne are important considerations. The presence of seatbelt use and airbag deployment is correlated to a decreased rate of nephrectomy in renal trauma patients.47

In penetrating injuries, the size of the weapons used in stabbings and the type of gun in gunshot wounds can sometimes help prognosticate the nature and severity of the injury. Penetrating trauma to the lower chest and/or upper abdomen are potential clues to underlying renal or ureteral trauma.<sup>32</sup> The patient's past medical and surgical history is also crucial in assessing for and evaluating renal and GU trauma. Pre-existing structural urologic pathology such as hydronephrosis, tumors, cysts, strictures, or solitary kidneys are highly associated with renal injury after even minor trauma and necessitate a more intensive workup.<sup>34,48,49</sup> Pre-existing renal dysfunction is important to note, given the risk of traumaassociated acute kidney injury from insults such as hypotension, rhabdomyolysis, and contrast-induced nephropathy.<sup>4,32</sup> Pre-existing urologic pathology that would make bladder sensation unreliable, such as a neurogenic bladder disorder, places patients at greater risk for missed injury.<sup>50</sup> Key historical features are summarized in Table 5.

#### Ability to Void, Dysuria, and Hematuria

In the controlled chaos that characterizes the initial evaluation of trauma patients, some historical features and concerning symptoms are easily missed. One example is the inability to void, which is sometimes attributed to pain from other injuries or anxiety. Difficulty voiding and gross hematuria are hallmarks of bladder injury, with the latter seen in nearly all (95%) of the injuries reviewed in a recent case series and consensus statement on the topic  $^{10,51}$ A high level of suspicion should be doubled in the presence of a patient with a straddle injury, trauma to the perineum, or pelvic fracture.<sup>24</sup> "Reflex retention" is a real phenomenon seen in lower GU tract trauma, and it can be easily assessed with a bedside ultrasound or bladder scanning machine in patients with or without a urinary catheter in place.<sup>29,51</sup> For

patients with delayed presentation, eliciting any instances of dysuria or hematuria is essential in risk stratifying their likelihood of GU injury.<sup>24</sup> Delayed onset of gross hematuria after injury raises the possibility of the rare but potentially life-threatening complication of renal artery pseudoaneurysm.<sup>52</sup>

#### Physical Examination

Examination findings for patients with GU trauma can be obvious, subtle, or even absent. The patient should be undressed fully in order to identify the location of any penetrating injuries and identify subtle findings, such as perineal ecchymosis, that might indicate a deeper injury. Though it sounds obvious, this is often skipped, and it is the only way to accurately identify genital injuries that occur very commonly with urologic injuries.<sup>53</sup>

The most lethal GU injury—kidney trauma—can exist with minimal to no physical examination findings, especially when it occurs in isolation,<sup>48</sup> highlighting the importance of considering renal trauma in patients with mechanisms that may put them at risk, such as rapid deceleration and direct flank trauma. Physical examination findings that may be seen in patients with blunt renal trauma include left upper quadrant or flank tenderness and ecchymosis, loss of flank contour, and fractured ribs.<sup>38</sup>

Ureteral injuries rarely occur in isolation and, by themselves, do not usually produce any physical examination findings. Injuries to the lumbosacral spine and pelvis following blunt trauma should raise suspicion for ureteral injury.<sup>39</sup> Intraperitoneal bladder rupture can cause abdominal distension and pain due to urinary extravasation, while extraperitoneal bladder rupture is highly associated with pelvic fractures and resultant pain and bruising in the pelvic area.<sup>54</sup>

Blood at the urethral meatus is the most common finding seen with urethral trauma, although genital hematomas, bruising, or swelling can also be seen in these patients.<sup>32</sup> Urethral trauma can also result in the inability to urinate, resulting in bladder distension on physical examination.<sup>55</sup> Pelvic fractures on physical examination should also raise the suspicion for urethral injury. Classically, digital rectal examination has been taught as a method to diagnose urethral transection via a "high-riding"

# Table 5. Key Historical Questions in Renaland Genitourinary Trauma

- Blunt trauma: mechanism of injury, restraints (eg, seatbelts), nature of deceleration (height or speed)
- Penetrating trauma: specific type of gun (caliber), dimension of stabbing weapon
- Prior urologic history (eg, hydronephrosis, cysts, etc)
- Prior renal function
- · Suprapubic discomfort, hematuria, or dysuria
- Difficulty voiding

prostate, but in a retrospective review by Docimo et al, none of the 7 patients they studied with urethral injuries had the finding on physical examination.<sup>56</sup> Moreover, Ball et al found in a retrospective review that only 1 (2%) of the 41 patients with urethral disruptions had a high-riding prostate on examination.<sup>57</sup> As evidenced, digital rectal examination is not a sensitive enough test to rule out urethral injury, though it is still recommended by the American College of Surgeons in Advanced Trauma Life Support.

Both penile and scrotal injuries can result in tenderness, swelling, ecchymosis, and lacerations. Significant swelling in the scrotum can limit the testicular examination.<sup>58</sup> Penile fractures typically present with significant bruising and swelling and can cause an "eggplant deformity," due to the ecchymotic swelling as well as disruption of the tunica albuginea.<sup>59</sup> (See Figure 3.)

Given the high incidence of urologic injury with genital trauma in women, examination under anesthesia should be considered for patients who are not able to tolerate a thorough pelvic examination, but who have concerning findings for genital injury such as labial bruising, bleeding, swelling, or tenderness.<sup>60</sup>

## **Diagnostic Studies**

#### Urinalysis

Understanding the limitations of the urinalysis in renal and GU trauma is central to clinical decisionmaking. Urinalysis should be performed on all abdominal trauma patients. If renal or GU injury is suspected, the first spontaneously voided sample of urine is essential to identify hematuria, as this has the highest sensitivity, before fluid administration or diuresis can obscure its presence.<sup>38,61</sup> Offering a

#### Figure 3. Eggplant Deformity



Case courtesy of Dr. Praveen Jha, Radiopaedia.org, rID: 25999 Source: <u>https://radiopaedia.org/cases/penile-fracture-2</u> Used with permission.

urinal or bedpan after initial stabilization can help facilitate this. Hematuria is defined as more than 5 red blood cells seen per high-power field. This is generally ascertainable by urine dipstick, although differing brands may have variable specificity and sensitivity, with a false-negative rate up to 10% reported.<sup>4,62</sup> Differentiating between gross and microscopic hematuria is important, with most experts putting the break point between the two at 30 to 50 red cells per high-power field.<sup>62</sup>

Based exclusively on retrospective data in blunt trauma, gross hematuria is the single best indicator of injury, and can be found in up to 90% of renal injuries.<sup>33</sup> There is a correlation (in blunt trauma only) between the degree of hematuria and the likelihood of intra-abdominal injury not related to the GU system.<sup>33</sup> There is a current expert consensus in blunt abdominal trauma that gross hematuria or microscopic hematuria with hypotension (systolic blood pressure < 90 mm Hg) is an indication for imaging to investigate renal or GU injury.<sup>30,32-34</sup> This was derived from and validated by a number of large prospective and retrospective studies looking at renal trauma patients and their need for imaging.<sup>63-66</sup> Nonetheless, the absence of hematuria is not enough to ensure that no renal or GU injuries are missed. One series of 396 patients with renal injury after a fall found that 21% of patients with Grade II to IV renal injury had no hematuria.67 The absence of hematuria does not rule out a renovascular injury, with numerous case reports describing a negative urinalysis in patients with complete renal pedicle avulsion, ureteropelvic junction disruption, and other severe injuries.<sup>24,33,68,69</sup> A 25-year case series from San Francisco General Hospital also found that the absence of hematuria was not enough to rule out ureteral injury.<sup>70</sup>

In penetrating trauma, there is no correlation between the amount or even presence of hematuria and the degree of injury.<sup>33,34,71</sup> The American College of Radiology has published guidelines, summarized in Table 6, page 8, that aim to strike a balance between the overuse of imaging with any degree of hematuria and missing injuries in patients without hematuria but with a mechanism likely to produce significant renal or GU trauma.<sup>61</sup> This expert consensus recommends CT in patients with 5 to 30 red blood cells per high-power field when there is hypotension or other signs of shock, or other injuries that would require it (eg, a positive FAST [focused assessment with sonography in trauma] examination), which is to say that the hematuria alone does not mandate a CT. It recommends serious consideration of CT imaging without any red blood cells in a urinalysis for a rapid deceleration mechanism (such as a high-speed motor vehicle crash or a fall from a height), direct trauma to the flank (such as a punch or kick) with significant pain and/or ecchymosis to

the flank area, or adjacent fractures of the ribs or spine. There is little evidence to formally guide clinicians on how to quantify the amount of force to the flank that, even without hematuria or hemodynamic instability, would necessitate a CT.

#### **Baseline Renal Function**

Although baseline renal function is assessed almost axiomatically in nearly all trauma patients, this initial creatinine measurement reflects pre-existing renal insufficiency and does not reflect the impact of any renal or GU injury.<sup>34</sup>

#### **Computed Tomographic Imaging**

CT imaging is the gold standard in assessing renal and GU trauma. It is more sensitive and specific than intravenous pyelogram (IVP), ultrasound, or angiography. It better detects, localizes, and characterizes the nature of injuries, and concomitant trauma and is particularly useful in evaluating injuries in patients with pre-existing urological structural abnormalities.<sup>34</sup> Because renal and GU injury can be present without hematuria or hemodynamic signs, clinician gestalt based on mechanism of injury and physical examination is essential.<sup>32</sup>

For patients stable enough to go to CT, all expert guidelines recommend an immediate IV-contrasted study. Findings on CT that suggest major renal injury include (but are not limited to) hematoma, urinary extravasation, and lack of contrast enhancement of the renal parenchyma.<sup>72</sup> Because modern helical CT scanners generally obtain images before contrast is excreted in the urine, scans can miss significant injuries to the renal pelvis, collecting system, and ureter.<sup>73,74</sup> In one study of patients with ureteral injury from blunt trauma, conventional CT missed

# Table 6. American College of RadiologyGuidelines Appropriateness Criteria® forComputed Tomographic Imaging in Renaland Genitourinary Trauma

- Blunt abdominal trauma and gross hematuria (Grade B, clinical studies without randomized controlled trials).
- Blunt abdominal trauma, shock (systolic pressure < 90 mm Hg in the field or during resuscitation), and microscopic hematuria (Grade B).
- Blunt trauma with injuries known to be associated with renal injury such as rapid deceleration, direct contusion to the flank, flank ecchymoses, or fractures of the lower ribs or thoracolumbar spine, regardless of the presence or absence of hematuria (Grade C, expert consensus).
- Penetrating trauma to the upper abdomen or lower thorax regardless of the presence or absence of hematuria (Grade B).

Reprinted from *European Urology*, Volume 47, Issue 1. Thomas A. Lynch, Luis Martinez-Piñero, Eugen Plas, et al. EAU Guidelines on Urological Trauma. Pages 1-15. Copyright 2005, with permission from Elsevier.

80% of injuries, and they were only discovered on delayed imaging after complications ensued.<sup>74</sup> In a prospective study by Hardee et al, 33% of patients with high-grade renal injuries had a collecting system injury missed due to the absence of delayed excretory CT images.<sup>75</sup> When there is a high-grade renal injury (grade IV or V), ureteropelvic junction injury, or any concern for ureteral injury based on gestalt or first CT, the addition of approximately 10-minute-delayed CT images of the pelvis should be obtained to look for telltale abnormalities such as extravasation, periureteral urinoma, or a lack of contrast distal to the suspected ureteral injury (Level III/C evidence).<sup>24,32,33,75,76</sup>

The only exception to using IVP over CT is the socalled "single shot" IVP performed perioperatively in unstable patients requiring immediate operation for other injuries, making CT imaging impossible.

#### **Computed Tomographic Cystography**

Routine CT of the abdomen is often not enough to detect bladder injury.<sup>14,33</sup> Even clamping the Foley catheter and letting the bladder distend is not sufficient.<sup>77</sup> Morey et al found that, in 53 patients with bladder injury, 100% had hematuria and 85% had pelvic fractures.<sup>50</sup> Numerous other studies found similarly high correlations to hematuria and pelvic fractures.<sup>24</sup> CT cystography is performed when there is gross hematuria or pelvic free fluid without another explanation other than bladder injury and when there are pelvic fractures (other than acetabular fractures) on the initial CT.<sup>14,33,78</sup> **Table 7** lists recommendations for identifying which patients need CT cystography. **Figure 4 (page 9, )** is an example of a positive CT cystogram.

CT cystography and cystography performed in the cystography suite are interchangeable and equally sensitive and specific.<sup>79</sup> The sensitivity of CT cystography in detecting bladder injuries is 78% to 100%.<sup>41,78,80</sup> CT cystography is performed by draining the bladder via Foley catheter, instilling 350 mL of diluted, sterile CT contrast (made with 30 mL of contrast in a 500 mL bag of warmed normal saline) into the bladder by gravity, clamping the Foley or suprapubic tube, and obtaining CT images of the pelvis.<sup>40</sup> There is no need for a subsequent postvoid film.<sup>79</sup>

# Table 7. Recommendations for Use of Computed Tomographic Cystography<sup>40,50</sup>

- · Gross hematuria or pelvic fluid with pelvic fracture
- Gross hematuria without other injury to explain it
- Microscopic hematuria with pelvic fracture
- Isolated microhematuria with physician concern
- Difficulty voiding or suprapubic pain and any hematuria
- Penetrating injuries of the buttock, pelvis, or lower abdomen with any hematuria

#### **Computed Tomographic Angiography**

CT angiography is sometimes performed, generally preoperatively, to localize acute arterial hemorrhage in preparation for surgical repair and/or embolization.<sup>81</sup> CT with or without angiography has been used in some institutions to determine the need for embolization.<sup>82</sup>

#### **Retrograde Urethrogram**

A retrograde urethrogram (RUG) should be performed prior to blind insertion of a urinary catheter if there is concern for urethral injury (as suggested by blood at the urethral meatus), pelvic fracture, the inability to urinate, or significant pelvic swelling or ecchymosis. It is performed by gently stretching the penis over the thigh at an oblique angle to radiographically visualize the entire urethra, and performing an x-ray as a scout for comparison. Next, contrast is instilled into the urethral meatus. An abdominal radiograph is then performed to evaluate for contrast extravasation along the course of the urethra, which indicates urethral disruption. If there is complete disruption, the bladder will not fill with contrast. The sensitivity and specificity of RUG are extremely high, and because it can be performed

#### Figure 4. Positive Computed Tomographic Cystogram in a Patient With Penetrating Trauma and Bladder Rupture



Computed tomographic cystogram after penetrating trauma shows extensive contrast around the bladder (white arrowheads) as well as bowel loops and mesenteric folds in the peritoneum (white arrows). *Emergency Radiology.* Lower Male Genitourinary Trauma: A Pictorial Review. Volume 21; 2013. Bruce E. Lehnert, Claudia Sadro, Eric Monroe, Mariam Moshiri. © 2013 American Society of Emergency Radiology. With permission of Springer. at the bedside, it is superior to CT.<sup>83</sup> **Figure 5** is an example of a positive RUG.

Because urethral injuries are not life-threatening and because the injected contrast can potentially interfere with the interpretation of contrast-enhanced CT scans, RUGs are typically performed in a delayed fashion, but they still can occur in the ED. An abnormal RUG should prompt immediate urology consultation. In the interim, blind passage of a urinary catheter should not be attempted, to limit any further damage to the urethra. If RUG reveals disruption of the urethra and the bladder is markedly distended, suprapubic catheterization can be considered for bladder decompression.<sup>32</sup> If a urinary catheter has already been placed but urethral injury is suspected, a pericatheter RUG can still be performed by introducing a pediatric (4-6 French) catheter beside the urinary catheter into the distal urethra, and then injecting contrast.<sup>84</sup>

#### Ultrasound

FAST examination via bedside ultrasound can be very helpful in identifying intraperitoneal free fluid, as would occur from intraperitoneal bladder rupture, and it has also been used to identify the site of bladder rupture.<sup>83</sup> However, due to poor sensitivity, it has minimal utility in diagnosing renal parenchymal trauma or retroperitoneal bleeding. CT scanning with IV contrast is typically the imaging modality of choice for traumatic injuries to the upper GU tract.<sup>38</sup>

Formal ultrasound can be obtained to confirm

Figure 5. Retrograde Urethrogram Performed in a Patient With a Penile Fracture



Note the contrast extravasation within the penile shaft, indicating a concomitant anterior urethral injury.

Andre Cavalcanti, Renato Krambeck, Alexandre AraÚJo, et al. Management of Urethral Lesions in Penile Blunt Trauma. *International Journal of Urology*, 2006; Volume 13, issue 9. With permission of John Wiley and Sons.

# Clinical Pathway for Evaluation of Blunt Renal Trauma in Adults



<sup>a</sup>Suspected renal trauma results from reported mechanism of injury and physical examination.

<sup>b</sup>Renal imaging: CT scans are the gold standard for evaluating blunt and penetrating renal injuries in stable patients. In settings where CT is not available, the urologist should rely on other imaging modalities (IVP, angiography, radiographic scintigraphy, magnetic resonance imaging). <sup>c</sup>Renal exploration: Although renal salvage is a primary goal for the urologist, decisions concerning the viability of the organ and the type of reconstruction are made during the operation.

Abbreviations: CT, computed tomography; IVP, intravenous pyelogram.

Reprinted with permission of the European Association of Urology. Figure 4.1.1. Available at: http://uroweb.org/guideline/urological-trauma#4

penile fracture (though the diagnosis is typically made clinically) or to evaluate for testicular injury, as it can help distinguish between testicular contusion and rupture. Doppler examination for blood flow within the scrotum can help determine the viability and vulnerability of the tissue.<sup>86</sup>

## Treatment

#### **Catheters and Urinary Diversion**

Placement of a urinary catheter is often indicated in the care of a trauma patient for monitoring resuscitation and fluid status, as well as logistical reasons in a critically ill, bed-bound patient. It is recommended by the American Urological Association guidelines in many renal and GU injuries such as bladder rupture or straddle injury to the urethra (Grade C evidence, considered "low" quality).<sup>32</sup> In patients with diagnosed or suspected renal and GU trauma, however, this is slightly more complicated.

A common question in the ED is whether to attempt placement of a Foley catheter in patients when there is even the remote possibility of a urethral injury. The question is particularly pertinent in the initial evaluation and resuscitation: Will catheterization make a patient worse, potentially turning a partial tear into a complete perforation? A retrospective study of 46 patients with urethral and bladder trauma seen at the University of California Los Angeles Medical Center found that blind Foley placement was attempted in 91% of patients. They could find no evidence that this attempt worsened the initial injury, including patients with blood at the meatus.<sup>87</sup> In a sweeping review article on the history of urethral trauma, Mundy and Andrich argued that, in the modern era of urinary catheters and antibiotics, a blind attempt at Foley catheterization (with the caveat that adequate confirmation of bladder placement is vital) is unlikely to cause additional harm and is a reasonable approach in a trauma patient that requires one.<sup>29</sup> Despite these studies, blind catheter placement is best avoided, if possible. If it is attempted, it should be noted that successful passage of a Foley catheter does not exclude the possibility of a small or incomplete perforation of the urethra.<sup>33</sup> In a trauma patient, the inability to easily pass a Foley catheter mandates stopping for urethral imaging and either passing a Foley over a guidewire or a suprapubic catheter.<sup>22,29</sup>

#### **Suprapubic Catheterization**

Suprapubic catheter insertion is rarely necessary, but may be a consideration in select cases (eg, prolonged immobilization), and some cases of severe bladder, urethral, or genital injury.<sup>51</sup> For patients with a pelvic fracture-associated urethral injury who are going to the operating room or interventional radiology, the recommendation is to place a suprapubic cath-

eter at that time. Likewise, patients with a straddle injury of the anterior urethra are at high risk for a delayed stricture; if a Foley is not possible or advisable, a suprapubic catheter is recommended.<sup>16,32</sup> In bladder trauma, recent studies have found fewer complications and shorter hospital stays with Foley catheters,14,88 leading consensus guidelines to recommend them preferentially in bladder trauma.<sup>32,89</sup> In anterior or posterior urethral injury, primary surgical repair versus suprapubic catheter with delayed repair is an ongoing area of controversy and is left to the discretion of the urologist.<sup>5,51,78,90</sup> Delayed repair with primary placement of a suprapubic catheter (or sometimes a Foley catheter) is increasingly used as part of a damage-control approach to GU trauma in the polytrauma patient.<sup>91</sup>

#### **Alternative Methods of Urinary Diversion**

In some cases of complex trauma, particularly to the upper urinary tract, alternative methods of urinary diversion, such as ureteral stents and/or percutaneous nephrostomy are called for. This is the current expert consensus (evidence grade C) for patients with complications of renal or GU trauma (eg, expanding urinoma, increasing pain, infection), and in those requiring delayed surgical repair.<sup>32</sup> The possible need for such urologic procedures and collaborative planning of care makes involving urology colleagues early in the process highly beneficial. Early, rapid, temporizing urologic intervention to divert urine reduces future complications such as infection, ileus, and chemical peritonitis.<sup>91</sup>

#### Surgery

Most grade I, II, or III renal injuries due to blunt trauma can be managed nonsurgically, whereas grade IV and V injuries frequently require intervention.<sup>92</sup> It has been shown that performing an explorative laparotomy, however, independently increases the rate of nephrectomy. Therefore, a trend toward more conservative management has led to fewer nephrectomies in patients with significant renal trauma.93 Ureteral injuries are also commonly treated primarily with stenting, although debridement and primary anastomosis may be required for severe injuries such as complete transection.<sup>39</sup> Intraperitoneal bladder ruptures do not usually heal spontaneously and typically require operative exploration and repair. Extraperitoneal ruptures, on the other hand, can usually be managed by placing a urinary catheter, which promotes bladder rest and healing.<sup>94</sup> Immediate surgical repair of urethral trauma is rarely indicated, but these injuries must eventually be definitively managed surgically to minimize the likelihood of erectile dysfunction, incontinence, and stricture formation.95

The 2 most common indications for surgical intervention with genital trauma are penile fracture and testicular rupture, and immediate repair should

always be considered.<sup>3</sup> The goals for surgery in penile fracture are to restore function and cosmesis, but also to evaluate for and treat urethral injuries, which are common.<sup>96</sup> Early intervention for testicular rupture leads to lower rates of testicular necrosis and orchiectomy.<sup>97</sup> Other indications for surgical intervention include large scrotal hematomas, hematoceles, testicular dislocation, scrotal or penile amputation, and female genital injuries such as large hematomas and lacerations.<sup>37,58,98</sup>

#### Interventional Radiology

As conservative management with resultant lower rates of nephrectomy becomes more popular, interventional radiology has been used with increased frequency in patients suffering from GU trauma, both for diagnostic and therapeutic indications. Diagnostically, angiography can be used to evaluate for continued bleeding. Embolization can then be performed in an attempt to minimize hemorrhage in patients who are hemodynamically stable. Additionally, renal artery and ureteral injuries can be stented to achieve repair.<sup>99</sup>

#### Admission

Although the management of renal injuries has moved increasingly toward nonoperative and expectant, the consensus on whom to admit has not changed very much. Although institutional practice will vary, expert consensus still supports admitting all renal injuries, even grade I and II.<sup>65,80</sup> Some have suggested that patients with grade I injury without visible hematuria can be discharged home with close follow-up.<sup>100</sup> Trauma patients with higher-grade injuries with anticipated nonoperative management are admitted for bed rest, frequent check of vital signs, serial hematocrit testing, assessment of urine output and degree of hematuria, and potentially repeat CT.<sup>34,72</sup>

To the consternation of practitioners, however, there is wide variation in practice from all fields when it comes to repeat imaging, inpatient monitoring, IV fluids, antibiotics, activity restriction, and need/timeline for follow-up.<sup>100</sup> In terms of fluids, there is no specific recommendation other than that required to maintain adequate urine output.<sup>101</sup> Prophylaxis with antibiotics is often recommended for the renal and GU trauma patient, although there is minimal evidence to support this, most of it grade C (expert consensus).<sup>100,102</sup> The idea is to decrease the incidence of urinary tract infection and perinephric abscess; **Table 8** presents the guidelines recommended.

It is traditional, and still widely practiced, to prescribe bed rest for patients with renal trauma.<sup>100</sup> The original paper recommending this dates back to 1968 and recommended 3 weeks, with the first week taking place in the hospital.<sup>103</sup> Current guidelines,

however, are less conservative, and advocate early return to mobilization.<sup>100</sup> No expert guidelines or literature addresses return to sports or activities after conservatively managed renal or GU injury. Given that 25% of all renal injuries grade III or greater experience a secondary hemorrhage,<sup>102</sup> and that the most common time period in which to experience this is the first 2 weeks, that seems like a minimum reasonable recommendation for patients going home.<sup>100</sup> A standard pediatric recommendation, which could be applied in adults, is 2 to 3 weeks off school and 2 to 3 months off sports.<sup>104</sup>

# **Special Populations**

#### The Pediatric Patient

Due to anatomic factors, children are at higher risk of sustaining blunt renal injury than adults.<sup>89</sup> In one study, while renal trauma accounted for only 3.5% of pediatric GU injuries, 25.7% of trauma admissions were due to renal trauma.<sup>105</sup> History and physical examination are less reliable in this patient population, as children can have significant renal trauma without gross hematuria or hypotension.<sup>106</sup> The rate of nephrectomies in this population is significantly lower than that in adults, and this is likely due to a lower incidence of major trauma, as well as increased efforts to minimize long-term morbidity by avoiding surgical intervention.<sup>107</sup> The management of ureteral, bladder, and urethral injuries in children is similar to adults, although most authors agree that minimizing unnecessary radiation is important.<sup>89</sup>

Sexual abuse must be considered in any child presenting with a genital injury.<sup>37</sup> If there is a suggestion of genital injury, a complete examination is recommended, often requiring an examination with sedation (or even under anesthesia) in young girls.<sup>60</sup>

# Table 8. Antibiotic Prophylaxis and Renal Injury<sup>100</sup>

Consider:

- · Patient age, comorbidities, and immunocompromise
- Fever or risk factors (ischemic segments, significant soft-tissue loss, bowel or pancreatic injury, immunosuppression).
- In the absence of fever or risk factors, grades I, II, and III do not require antibiotics.

In the presence of fever or risk factors:

- Grade IV and V injuries should receive intravenous antibiotics for 48-72 hours and then orally for 5 days.
- Recommended regimens include first-generation cephalosporins, ciprofloxacin, or ampicillin with gentamicin (local resistance taken into consideration).
- Concomitant bowel injury requires additional coverage for gastrointestinal microbes.

## The Elderly

The epidemiology of renal and GU trauma in patients aged 65 and older is similar to that of adults under age 65. The kidney, bladder, and urethra are the most frequently injured GU organs. However, given similar mechanisms and injury severity scores, elderly patients tend to have more intensive care unit admissions, longer hospital stays, and a significant increase in mortality when compared to younger adults. These differences are likely secondary to decreased physiologic reserve as well as pre-existing comorbidities.<sup>108</sup>

# **Controversies and Cutting Edge**

## Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Although magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) can provide more accurate images when compared to CT, because of cost, time to acquire images, and limited access, MRI is not routinely used to determine the extent of renal and GU injuries. However, MRI may have some utility in patients who have iodinated contrast-dye allergies.<sup>109</sup> MRI has also been used to evaluate penile fractures and scrotal injury. Given that ultrasound can also be used for diagnosis of such injuries, is typically more readily available, and can be performed at the bedside, it is preferred in both instances.<sup>79</sup> MRI is never an appropriate imaging study for an unstable patient, and its utility in patients presenting with renal and GU trauma in the ED is limited.

#### **Nonoperative Management**

To reduce the rates of nephrectomy, as well as the morbidity associated with surgical complications, there has been a trend toward more conservative management in blunt renal trauma. Strategies include close observation, the use of interventional radiology for selective embolization, and percutaneous treatments. Despite the use of more conservative therapy, the incidence of complications and death from these injuries has not changed. Surgery remains indicated in renal trauma patients with hypotension, renal pedicle injury, renal artery thrombosis, or urinary extravasation.<sup>1</sup>

## **Contrast-Enhanced Ultrasound**

As previously mentioned, ultrasound carries fairly low sensitivity when diagnosing renal trauma. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) is a newer imaging technique that carries higher accuracy, but it is still inferior to CT scanning. It involves injecting echogenic microbubbles into the circulation, and visualizing the organ in question with ultrasound as the microbubbles enter the parenchyma, causing increased echogenicity. By brightening the parenchyma, discontinuity of the tissue is highlighted. CEUS can be used to diagnose lacerations, hematomas, and even renal hilar avulsion if there is absence of parenchymal enhancement with contrast. The number of microbubbles in the ureter, bladder, and urethra are negligible, making CEUS unhelpful in diagnosing injuries to these organs.<sup>110</sup>

# Disposition

## Consultation

Any injury to the kidneys, ureter, bladder, or urethra should, at the very least, be discussed with and possibly evaluated by a trauma surgeon and/or urologist while the patient is in the ED. If urology or trauma surgery is not available within the hospital, transfer to a trauma center is appropriate. Most injuries to the upper GU tract do require admission, although a minority of the cases can be safely discharged from the ED provided that they have close follow-up after discussion with a urologist, are hemodynamically stable, and their pain is well controlled. The majority of injuries to the genitals, including superficial lacerations and zipper injuries, do not generally require emergent urologic evaluation. However, crush injuries to the scrotum or penis should be radiologically evaluated via an ultrasound or RUG, respectively, to ensure that the testicles and urethra are not injured. Testicular dislocation, rupture, traumatic torsion, and penile fracture require operative intervention. When in doubt, a specialist should be consulted regarding any injury to the GU tract to ensure proper follow-up.

## **Urinary Catheter Care**

Some patients with anterior urethral injuries can be managed with an indwelling urinary catheter. If a patient has a positive RUG for anterior urethral injury, urology should be consulted to avoid blind catheter placement. Assuming there are no other injuries, these patients can be sent home with an indwelling catheter provided they are able to follow up closely with a urologist and that they understand strict return precautions. The catheter will remain in place until definitive management can occur. Delayed management can lead to strictures, erectile dysfunction, and infection, highlighting the importance of ensuring the patient understands that he or she must follow up promptly with a urologist.

# **Time- and Cost-Effective Strategies**

• **Consider the diagnosis**. Because urologic injuries are rarely life-threatening, diagnoses are frequently delayed, or even missed. If GU trauma is not considered in the patient with abdominal trauma, patients may suffer from significant unnecessary morbidity. Therefore, a high index of suspicion is required. A urinalysis is a very helpful screening tool to send early in any

patient presenting with trauma to the abdomen, lower thorax, or flank, but it is not sufficient to rule out injury. A thorough physical examination as part of the initial secondary survey, including the perineum and genitals, will help to minimize missed diagnoses.

- Use IV contrast when possible, and delayed images when indicated. Assuming no contraindications to its use, IV contrast should be used on initial CT scans for trauma to the abdomen, as it not only allows for better visualization of injuries, but can also identify the need for emergent intervention. Extravasation of contrast immediately, or on delayed images, can indicate ongoing hemorrhage or urinary leak, respectively. If CT scans are performed without contrast, repeat imaging with contrast may be needed, which can lead to significant delays, as well as increased cost and radiation to the patient.
- Involve urology early. Emergent urology evaluation may be necessary in patients with urethral injury who present with urinary retention and abdominal distention, particularly if the emergency clinician is not experienced and credentialed in placing a suprapubic catheter. Even if the patient presents with a nonemergent condition, however, after an injury to the renal or GU tract has been identified, a urologist can help facilitate appropriate management, disposition, and follow-up. This is particularly true for trauma to the genitalia, where ordering testing and imaging may be unnecessary, and can lead to significant delays when operative intervention is required for exploration and potential repair.

#### Risk Management Pitfalls for Renal and Genitourinary Trauma (Continued on page 15)

1. "I ruled out kidney damage with a normal urinalysis and sent her home. She came back with renal necrosis."

While a urinalysis can help risk stratify and identify the severity of some types of renal trauma, a normal urinalysis is not sufficient to rule out the diagnosis. There are many case reports of patients with severe injuries and normal urinalyses, especially in vascular injury and penetrating trauma.

2. "He only had a little blood at the meatus on examination, so I went ahead and tried to place a Foley."

In any patient for whom you have a concern for possible urethral injury, based on history, physical examination, presence of significant pelvic fractures on x-ray, or suspicious findings on initial CT scan, you should perform a RUG prior to attempting placement of a Foley catheter. Without this test, you risk turning a minor urethral injury into a major one.

3. "Radiology hedged their read on our CT because we did the RUG before he went to CT." The contrast from a RUG can make accurately reading a subsequent CT of the abdomen with IV contrast or a CT cystogram very difficult. Since the placement of a Foley catheter is not emergent, the CT imaging should be performed first before performing a urethrogram to rule out urethral injury. 4. "I diagnosed her posterior rib fractures with an x-ray after she was hit on the flank. Her belly examination and blood pressure were normal, so I sent her home. She came back with a grade IV renal laceration."

Patients presenting with blunt or penetrating trauma to the lower thorax, upper abdomen, or flank should raise your suspicion for underlying renal trauma. Depending on history, mechanism of injury, adjacent injuries (such as vertebral fractures) and presence and degree of hematuria, such presentations may require additional imaging for possible renal injury.

5. "When we found his pelvic fracture, I called orthopedics and trauma, but I didn't think about a urethral injury."

Pelvic fractures (apart from acetabular fractures), are highly correlated to urethral injury. In patients who have difficulty or pain with voiding, or hematuria with a pelvic fracture or trauma to the genitals or pelvis, a urethral injury must be on your differential, even if the patient is admitted and cannot have the definitive test before going upstairs. Depending on the institution, this can mean a RUG performed downstairs, a discussion with trauma surgery/ admitting service, or a urology consult.

# Summary

Renal and GU trauma occur in 10% of abdominal trauma patients. Injuries to this organ system can be subtle and challenging to diagnose, particularly in polytrauma patients with other life-threatening injuries. Early detection and appropriate treatment can help avoid lifelong morbidity and increased long-term mortality. Given the subtle nature of these injuries, the history and physical examination are important in determining the timing and nature of investigation. Normal urinalysis without hematuria, though sometimes used to reassure practitioners, is not sufficient to rule out renal or GU trauma. Although most adult patients with blunt abdominal trauma will have gross hematuria or hypotension with microscopic hematuria (with notable exceptions), children may not show hemodynamic instability in spite of significant injury. There is growing consensus on the diagnosis and management of these injuries, including a tremendous shift in the last 20 years towards nonoperative and minimally invasive management. Advances in CT and ultrasound imaging have allowed improved detection, prognostication, and long-term management of these patients. CT scan with IV contrast is the gold standard in evaluating for most renal and GU trauma, with the exception of isolated testicular trauma. Nevertheless, some patients will require delayed excretory phase imaging and/or a CT cystogram to adequately diagnose their injury. Most patients with renal or GU trauma will be admitted for further observation, even if stable. Early consultation with a urologist in cases of renal and GU injury is vital in devising an appropriate plan and disposition.

## Risk Management Pitfalls for Renal and Genitourinary Trauma (Continued from page 14)

6. "I just assumed he couldn't urinate because he was anxious after his car crash and because we gave him fentanyl."

Inability to void is common in the setting or lower urinary tract injury. In a setting of abdominal or pelvic trauma, this complaint must be investigated with bladder ultrasound to look for retention and appropriate additional imaging (CT cystogram, RUG, etc) to ensure the inability to void is not masking serious injury. Missed bladder and urethral injury can cause significant lifelong morbidity.

7. "His scrotum was so swollen and he had so many other injuries from his motorcycle crash, I couldn't have possibly caught his traumatic testicular torsion."

Renal and GU trauma is rarely the "main event" in the sick polytrauma patient. ATLS guidelines, with good reason, mandate dealing with the life-threatening injuries first. However, genital trauma with marked physical examination abnormalities can and should be addressed on secondary or tertiary examination, with a plan in place for additional imaging, such as ultrasound, and appropriate subspecialty consultation. The time-sensitive nature of some genital trauma makes this especially important.

8. "I assumed he had a penile fracture, but he was able to void, so I sent him home with pain medicine and a plan to see urology in the clinic in 1 to 2 days." Penile fracture, most commonly caused by trauma sustained during sexual intercourse, is a surgical emergency no matter how severe it appears on physical examination or the patient's ability to void. Although urethral injury is a concern, repairing the underlying defect in the tunica albuginea is also essential for future sexual function and cosmesis.

9. "We got a stat CT of the abdomen and pelvis when we saw the flank bullet exit wound, but we missed the ureteral injury because of how we ordered it."

Ureteral injuries are uncommon in general, but they are most commonly seen in penetrating trauma. A regular CT of the abdomen with IV contrast is not timed to catch most ureteral injuries. When the path of the projectile or stabbing implement, based on history or physical examination, is near a ureter or the kidney, delayed excretory images performed roughly 10 minutes after contrast administration are required.

10. "We called trauma when we saw the shattered kidney. When her FAST was positive and her pressure dropped, she went straight upstairs. Urology complained the next day." Although in most institutions the management of critically ill trauma patients is primarily dictated by the ED and their trauma surgery consultants, any high-grade renal or GU injury benefits from early involvement of a urologist. Ideally, they are involved in the initial operative intervention to help with urinary diversion and lend their expertise in renal salvage.

# **Case Conclusions**

The young man who was shanked with a screwdriver was rapidly resuscitated after he was found on primary survey to have a blood pressure of 85/49 mm Hg. He had a negative extended FAST exam. His urinalysis, surprisingly, was normal. After a rapid infusion of blood products, you and your trauma colleagues agreed he was stable enough for CT of the abdomen and pelvis with IV contrast. While he was transported, you called a stat urology consult. His initial scan showed a grade IV renal injury on the side of his penetrating trauma and possible stranding adjacent to that ureter. With close monitoring, you obtained 10-minute-delayed images that confirmed a ureteral injury with extravasation. He was taken to the OR with trauma and urology for continued hemorrhage from concomitant injuries, where he underwent primary repair of his ureteral injury as well.

For the woman in the motor vehicle crash, you paged trauma stat while beginning to resuscitate and evaluate her. You asked your nurse to defer attempting to place a Foley at this time due to concern for possible urethral injury caused by a presumed pelvic fracture. You knew a catheter would have to be inserted eventually, whether Foley or suprapubic catheter, but that her hemodynamic status came first. You carefully performed a vaginal examination, with full visualization before palpation to avoid lacerating your finger on any bony fragments. You appreciated a vaginal laceration adjacent to her urethra. While her extended FAST was in progress, you put out pages to interventional radiology for possible embolization of pelvic vessels and to urology, given your concern for lower urinary tract injury. Her positive extended FAST and continued hypotension sent her to the OR with trauma emergently. Because of the nature of her pelvic fractures, urology performed both a RUG and one-shot *IVP before placing a suprapubic catheter.* 

After providing some pain-dose ketamine for your motorcycle crash patient, you continued with his primary survey. He was intubated for continuing respiratory distress and a chest tube was inserted on his left. His dislocated testicle was covered in moist, sterile gauze. This alone was a surgical urological emergency, so you placed a page to the urologist. The patient's penile shaft deformity was concerning for a penile fracture, which you knew was also a surgical emergency. You deferred performing a RUG out of concern for exacerbating his penile injury, and held off on attempting to pass a Foley. You discussed placing an ultrasound-guided suprapubic catheter with the urology consultant, but as she was 5 minutes away, you agreed to wait. In lieu of testicle ultrasound, the urologist planned to take him to the OR once he was cleared by trauma surgery.

#### References

Evidence-based medicine requires a critical appraisal of the literature based upon study methodology and number of subjects. Not all references are equally robust. The findings of a large, prospective, randomized, and blinded trial should carry more weight than a case report.

To help the reader judge the strength of each reference, pertinent information about the study is included in bold type following the reference, where available. In addition, the most informative references cited in this paper, as determined by the authors, are noted by an asterisk (\*) next to the number of the reference.

- Yeung LL, Brandes SB. Contemporary management of renal trauma: differences between urologists and trauma surgeons. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.* 2012;72(1):68-75. (Survey study; 156 participants)
- 2. Kuy S, Codner PA, Guralnick M, et al. Combined rectovesicular injuries from low velocity penetrating trauma in an adult. *WMJ*. 2013;112(1):32-34. (Case report and review article)
- 3.\* McGeady JB, Breyer BN. Current epidemiology of genitourinary trauma. Urol Clin North Am. 2013;40(3):323-334. (Review article)
- 4. Lynch TH, Martínez-Piñeiro L, Plas E, et al. EAU guidelines on urological trauma. *Eur Urol*. 2005;47(1):1-15. (**Review** article)
- Cinman NM, McAninch JW, Porten SP, et al. Gunshot wounds to the lower urinary tract: a single-institution experience. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.* 2013;74(3):725-730. (Prospective analysis; 50 patients)
- Nnamani NS, Janak JC, Hudak SJ, et al. Genitourinary injuries and extremity amputation in Operations Enduring and Iraqi Freedom: early findings from the Trauma Outcomes and Urogenital Health (TOUGH) project. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.* 2016;81(5 Suppl 2 Proceedings of the 2015 Military Health System Research Symposium):S95-S99. (Retrospective; 1367 patients)
- Srinivasa RN, Akbar SA, Jafri SZ, et al. Genitourinary trauma: a pictorial essay. *Emerg Radiol.* 2009;16(1):21-33. (Review article)
- 8. Baverstock R, Simons R, McLoughlin M. Severe blunt renal trauma: a 7-year retrospective review from a provincial trauma centre. *Can J Urol.* 2001;8(5):1372-1376. (Retrospective; 227 patients)
- 9. Kansas BT, Eddy MJ, Mydlo JH, et al. Incidence and management of penetrating renal trauma in patients with multiorgan injury: extended experience at an inner city trauma center. *J Urol.* 2004;172(4 I):1355-1360. (Retrospective; 123 patients)
- <sup>10.</sup> <sup>1</sup>Hsieh CH, Chen RJ, Fang JF, et al. Diagnosis and management of bladder injury by trauma surgeons. *Am J Surg.* 2002;184(2):143-147. (Retrospective; 51 patients)
- 11. Lückhoff C, Mitra B, Cameron PA, et al. The diagnosis of acute urethral trauma. *Injury.* 2011;42(9):913-916. (Retrospective; 223 patients)
- Ziran BH, Chamberlin E, Shuler FD, et al. Delays and difficulties in the diagnosis of lower urologic injuries in the context of pelvic fractures. *J Trauma*. 2005;58(3):533-537. (Retrospective; 43 patients)
- Bjurlin MA, Fantus RJ, Mellett MM, et al. Genitourinary injuries in pelvic fracture morbidity and mortality using the national trauma data bank. *J Trauma*. 2009;67(5):1033-1039. (Retrospective; 1444 patients)
- Matlock KA, Tyroch ÅH, Kronfol ZN, et al. Blunt traumatic bladder rupture: a 10-year perspective. *Am Surg.* 2013;79(6):589-593. (Retrospective; 54 patients)
- Harpole BG, Wibbenmeyer LA, Erickson BA. Genital burns in the national burn repository: incidence, etiology, and impact on morbidity and mortality. *Urology*. 2014;83(2):298-302. (Retrospective; 1245 patients)
- 16. Ter-Grigorian AA, Kasyan GR, Pushkar DY. Urogenital

disorders after pelvic ring injuries. *Cent European J Urol.* 2013;66(3):352-356. (**Review article**)

- 17. Vanni AJ, Hotaling J, Hamlat C, et al. Do inclusive trauma systems improve outcomes after renal trauma? *J Trauma Acute Care Surg*. 2012;72(2):385-389. (Retrospective; 14,590 patients)
- Dunfee BL, Lucey BC, Soto JA. Development of renal scars on CT after abdominal trauma: does grade of injury matter? *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2008;190(5):1174-1179. (Retrospective; 54 patients)
- Tasian GE, Aaronson DS, McAninch JW. Evaluation of renal function after major renal injury: correlation with the American Association for the Surgery of Trauma Injury Scale. J Urol. 2010;183(1):196-200. (Retrospective; 67 patients)
- Shoobridge JJ, Corcoran NM, Martin KA, et al. Contemporary management of renal trauma. *Rev Urol.* 2011;13(2):65-72. (Review article)
- 21. Gomez RG, Mundy T, Dubey D, et al. SIU/ICUD consultation on urethral strictures: pelvic fracture urethral injuries. *Urology*. 2014;83(3 Suppl):S48-S58. (Consensus statement)
- 22. Mundy AR, Andrich DE. Urethral trauma. Part II: types of injury and their management. *BJU Int.* 2011;108(5):630-650. (Review article)
- 23. Brandes SB, McAninch JW. Renal trauma: a practical guide to evaluation and management. *ScientificWorldJournal*. 2004;4 Suppl 1:31-40. (**Review article**)
- 24. Shewakramani S, Reed KC. Genitourinary trauma. *Emerg* Med Clin North Am. 2011;29(3):501-518. (Review article)
- Pontes JE, Pierce JM Jr. Anterior urethral injuries: four years of experience at the Detroit General Hospital. *J Urol.* 1978;120(5):563-564. (Retrospective; 19 patients)
- Black PC, Miller EA, Porter JR, et al. Urethral and bladder neck injury associated with pelvic fracture in 25 female patients. J Urol. 2006;175(6):2140-2144. (Retrospective; 25 patients)
- 27. Bryk DJ, Zhao LC. Guideline of guidelines: a review of urological trauma guidelines. *BJU Int.* 2016;117(2):226-234. (Review article)
- Santucci RA, Wessells H, Bartsch G, et al. Evaluation and management of renal injuries: consensus statement of the renal trauma subcommittee. *BJU Int.* 2004;93(7):937-954. (Consensus statement)
- 29. Mundy AR, Andrich DE. Urethral trauma. Part I: introduction, history, anatomy, pathology, assessment and emergency management. *BJU Int.* 2011;108(3):310-327. (Review article)
- 30.\* Sheth S, Casalino D, Remer E, et al. American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria®: renal trauma. [Consensus Guideline]. 2012. Available at: <u>https://acsearch.acr.org/ docs/69373/Narrative/</u>. Accessed July 10, 2017. (Consensus guideline)
- Lockhart M, Remer E, Leyendecker J, et al. American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria<sup>®</sup>: suspected lower urinary tract trauma. [Consensus Guideline]. 2013. Available at: <u>https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69376/Narrative/</u>. Accessed July 10, 2017. (Consensus guideline)
- 32.\* Morey AF, Brandes S, Dugi DD 3rd, et al. Urotrauma: AUA guideline. *J Urol.* 2014;192(2):327-335. (Practice guideline)
- 33.\* Holevar M, DiGiacomo C, Ebert J, et al. Practice management guidelines for the evaluation of genitourinary trauma. [Literature review and consensus guideline]. 2003. Available at: <u>https://www.east.org/education/practice-managementguidelines/genitourinary-trauma-diagnostic-evaluation-of</u>. Accessed July 10, 2017. (Consensus guideline)
- 34.\* Kitrey N, Djakovic N, European Association of Urology (EAU) Guidelines Group for Urological Trauma, et al. Guidelines on urological trauma: 2016 update. [European Association of Urology Guidelines]. 2016. Available at: <u>http://uroweb.org/guideline/urological-trauma/</u>. Accessed July 10, 2017. (Practice guideline)
- 35. Wessells H, Suh D, Porter JR, et al. Renal injury and opera-

tive management in the United States: results of a population-based study. *J Trauma*. 2003;54(3):423-430. (Retrospective; 6231 patients)

- 36. Bagga HS, Fisher PB, Tasian GE, et al. Sports-related genitourinary injuries presenting to United States emergency departments. *Urology*. 2015;85(1):239-244. (Retrospective review; 13,851 observations)
- 37. Shnorhavorian M, Hidalgo-Tamola J, Koyle MA, et al. Unintentional and sexual abuse-related pediatric female genital trauma: a multiinstitutional study of free-standing pediatric hospitals in the United States. *Urology*. 2012;80(2):417-422. (Retrospective; 5664 patients)
- Cutinha P, Venugopal S, Salim F. Genitourinary trauma. Surgery (Oxford). 2013;31(7):362-370. (Review article)
- Siram SM, Gerald SZ, Greene WR, et al. Ureteral trauma: patterns and mechanisms of injury of an uncommon condition. *Am J Surg.* 2010;199(4):566-570. (Retrospective; 582 patients)
- 40. Lehnert BE, Sadro C, Monroe E, et al. Lower male genitourinary trauma: a pictorial review. *Emerg Radiol.* 2014;21(1):67-74. (**Review article**)
- 41. Figler BD, Hoffler CE, Reisman W, et al. Multi-disciplinary update on pelvic fracture associated bladder and urethral injuries. *Injury.* 2012;43(8):1242-1249. (Review article)
- Swanson DE, Polackwich AS, Helfand BT, et al. Penile fracture: outcomes of early surgical intervention. *Urology*. 2014;84(5):1117-1121. (Retrospective analysis; 30 cases)
- Moore EE, Shackford SR, Pachter HL, et al. Organ injury scaling: spleen, liver, and kidney. *J Trauma*. 1989;29(12):1664-1666. (Practice guideline)
- Moore EE, Cogbill TH, Jurkovich GJ, et al. Organ injury scaling. III: chest wall, abdominal vascular, ureter, bladder, and urethra. J Trauma. 1992;33(3):337-339. (Practice guideline)
- 45. Tinkoff G, Esposito TJ, Reed J, et al. American Association for the Surgery of Trauma Organ Injury Scale I: spleen, liver, and kidney, validation based on the National Trauma Data Bank. J Am Coll Surg. 2008;207(5):646-655. (Retrospective; 54,148 patients)
- Scott I, Porter K, Laird C, et al. The prehospital management of pelvic fractures: initial consensus statement. *Emerg Med J.* 2013;30(12):1070-1072. (Consensus statement)
- 47. Bjurlin MA, Fantus RJ, Fantus RJ, et al. The impact of seat belts and airbags on high grade renal injuries and nephrectomy rate in motor vehicle collisions. *J Urol.* 2014;192(4):1131-1136. (Retrospective; 3846 patients)
- Fanning DM, Forde JC, Mohan P. A simple football injury leading to a grade 4 renal trauma. *BMJ Case Rep.* 2012 Mar 8;2012. (Case report)
- 49. Schmidlin FR, Iselin CE, Naimi A, et al. The higher injury risk of abnormal kidneys in blunt renal trauma. *Scand J Urol Nephrol.* 1998;32(6):388-392. (Retrospective; 120 patients)
- Morey AF, Iverson AJ, Swan A, et al. Bladder rupture after blunt trauma: guidelines for diagnostic imaging. *J Trauma*. 2001;51(4):683-686. (Practice guideline)
- 51. Gomez RG, Ceballos L, Coburn M, et al. Consensus statement on bladder injuries. *BJU Int.* 2004;94(1):27-32. (Consensus statement)
- 52. Yamacake KG, Lucon M, Lucon AM, et al. Renal artery pseudoaneurysm after blunt renal trauma: report on three cases and review of the literature. *Sao Paulo Med J.* 2013;131(5):356-362. (Case report)
- 53. Goldman HB, Idom CB, Dmochowski RR. Traumatic injuries of the female external genitalia and their association with urological injuries. *J Urol.* 1998;159(3):956-959. (Retrospective; 20 patients)
- Pereira BM, de Campos CC, Calderan TR, et al. Bladder injuries after external trauma: 20 years experience report in a population-based cross-sectional view. *World J Urol.* 2013;31(4):913-917. (Retrospective; 111 patients)
- 55. Pichler R, Fritsch H, Skradski V, et al. Diagnosis and

management of pediatric urethral injuries. *Urol Int.* 2012;89(2):136-142. (**Review article**)

- Docimo S Jr, Diggs L, Crankshaw L, et al. No evidence supporting the routine use of digital rectal examinations in trauma patients. *Indian J Surg*. 2015;77(4):265-269. (Retrospective; 111 patients)
- 57. Ball CG, Jafri SM, Kirkpatrick AW, et al. Traumatic urethral injuries: Does the digital rectal examination really help us? *Injury*. 2009;40(9):984-986. (Retrospective; 41 patients)
- Bocchi F, Benecchi L, Russo F, et al. Early exploratory intervention in scrotal trauma. *Urologia*. 2013;80(2):140-144. (Retrospective; 43 patients)
- Hartman RJ Jr. Penile fracture. N Engl J Med. 2015;372(11): 1055. (Case report)
- 60. Iqbal CW, Jrebi NY, Zielinski MD, et al. Patterns of accidental genital trauma in young girls and indications for operative management. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2010;45(5):930-933. (Retrospective; 167 patients)
- Santucci RA, McAninch JW, Safir M, et al. Validation of the American Association for the Surgery of Trauma organ injury severity scale for the kidney. *J Trauma*. 2001;50(2):195-200. (Retrospective; 2467 patients)
- 62. Daum GS, Krolikowski FJ, Reuter KL, et al. Dipstick evaluation of hematuria in abdominal trauma. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 1988;89(4):538-542. (**Prospective; 178 patients**)
- 63. Mee SL, McAninch JW, Robinson AL, et al. Radiographic assessment of renal trauma: a 10-year prospective study of patient selection. *J Urol.* 1989;141(5):1095-1098. (Prospective; 1146 patients)
- Hardeman SW, Husmann DA, Chinn HK, et al. Blunt urinary tract trauma: identifying those patients who require radiological diagnostic studies. *J Urol.* 1987;138(1):99-101. (Prospective: 506 patients)
- Herschorn S, Radomski SB, Shoskes DA, et al. Evaluation and treatment of blunt renal trauma. *J Urol.* 1991;146(2):274-276. (Retrospective; 126 patients)
- Nicolaisen GS, McAninch JW, Marshall GA, et al. Renal trauma: re-evaluation of the indications for radiographic assessment. J Urol. 1985;133(2):183-187. (Prospective; 359 patients)
- Brandes SB, McAninch JW. Urban free falls and patterns of renal injury: a 20-year experience with 396 cases. *J Trauma*. 1999;47(4):643-649. (Retrospective; 423 patients)
- Knudson MM, Harrison PB, Hoyt DB, et al. Outcome after major renovascular injuries: a Western Trauma Association multicenter report. *J Trauma*. 2000;49(6):1116-1122. (Retrospective; 89 patients)
- Boone TB, Gilling PJ, Husmann DA. Ureteropelvic junction disruption following blunt abdominal trauma. *J Urol.* 1993;150(1):33-36. (Retrospective; 8 patients)
- Elliott SP, McAninch JW. Ureteral injuries from external violence: the 25-year experience at San Francisco General Hospital. J Urol. 2003;170(4 I):1213-1216. (Review article)
- Voelzke BB, McAninch JW. Renal gunshot wounds: clinical management and outcome. *J Trauma*. 2009;66(3):593-601. (Prospective; 201 patients)
- 72. McAninch JW. Urotrauma guidelines. J Urol. 2014;192(2):336. (Commentary)
- Brown SL, Hoffman DM, Spirnak JP. Limitations of routine spiral computerized tomography in the evaluation of blunt renal trauma. *J Urol.* 1998;160(6 Pt 1):1979-1981. (Retrospective; 35 patients)
- Mulligan JM, Cagiannos I, Collins JP, et al. Ureteropelvic junction disruption secondary to blunt trauma: excretory phase imaging (delayed films) should help prevent a missed diagnosis. J Urol. 1998;159(1):67-70. (Retrospective; 5 patients)
- Hardee MJ, Lowrance W, Brant WO, et al. High grade renal injuries: application of Parkland Hospital predictors of intervention for renal hemorrhage. J Urol. 2013;189(5):1771-1776. (Retrospective; 147 patients)

- Ortega SJ, Netto FS, Hamilton P, et al. CT scanning for diagnosing blunt ureteral and ureteropelvic junction injuries. *BMC Urology*. 2008;8:3. (Retrospective; 8 patients)
- Mee SL, McAninch JW, Federle MP. Computerized tomography in bladder rupture: diagnostic limitations. *J Urol.* 1987;137(2):207-209. (Prospective; 4 patients)
- 78. Avery LL, Scheinfeld MH. Imaging of male pelvic trauma. *Radiol Clin North Am.* 2012;50(6):1201-1217. (Review article)
- 79. Ramchandani P, Buckler PM. Imaging of genitourinary trauma. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2009;192(6):1514-1523. (Review article)
- 80. Harper K, Shah KH. Renal trauma after blunt abdominal injury. *J Emerg Med.* 2013;45(3):400-404. (Case report)
- 81. Hong LW, Chun YX, Hong HW, et al. Emergency transcatheter arterial embolization for acute renal hemorrhage. *Medicine*. 2015;94(42):1-7. (Retrospective; 83 patients)
- Lin WC, Lin CH, Chen JH, et al. Computed tomographic imaging in determining the need of embolization for high-grade blunt renal injury. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.* 2013;74(1):230-235. (Retrospective; 137 patients)
- 83. Bent C, Iyngkaran T, Power N, et al. Urological injuries following trauma. *Clin Radiol.* 2008;63(12):1361-1371. (Review article)
- 84. Ingram MD, Watson SG, Skippage PL, et al. Urethral injuries after pelvic trauma: evaluation with urethrography 1. *Radiographics*. 2008;28(6):1631-1643. (**Review article**)
- Wu TS, Pearson TC, Meiners S, et al. Bedside ultrasound diagnosis of a traumatic bladder rupture. *J Emerg Med.* 2011;41(5):520-523. (Case report)
- Nicola R, Carson N, Dogra VS. Imaging of traumatic injuries to the scrotum and penis. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2014;202(6):W512-W520. (Review article)
- Shlamovitz GZ, McCullough L. Blind urethral catheterization in trauma patients suffering from lower urinary tract injuries. *J Trauma*. 2007;62(2):330-335. (Retrospective; 46 patients)
- Parry NG, Rozycki GS, Feliciano DV, et al. Traumatic rupture of the urinary bladder: is the suprapubic tube necessary? J Trauma. 2003;54(3):431-436. (Retrospective; 51 patients)
- 89. Martinez-Pineiro L, Djakovic N, Plas E, et al. EAU guidelines on urethral trauma. *Eur Urol.* 2010;57(5):791-803. (Consensus statement)
- 90. Haidari M, Azargoon A, Mahmoudvand H, et al. Complications of primary realignment of posterior urethral disruption after pelvic trauma. *Trauma Mon.* 2014;19(2):e13523. (Retrospective; 24 patients)
- 91. Smith TG 3rd, Coburn M. Damage control maneuvers for urologic trauma. *Urol Clin North Am.* 2013;40(3):343-350. (Review article)
- Dugi DD 3rd, Morey AF, Gupta A, et al. American Association for the Surgery of Trauma grade 4 renal injury substratification into grades 4a (low risk) and 4b (high risk). *J Urol.* 2010;183(2):592-597. (Retrospective; 102 patients)
- 93.\* McClung CD, Hotaling JM, Wang J, et al. Contemporary trends in the immediate surgical management of renal trauma using a national database. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.* 2013;75(4):602-606. (Retrospective; 9002 patients)
- 94. Deibert CM, Spencer BA. The association between operative repair of bladder injury and improved survival: results from the National Trauma Data Bank. *J Urol.* 2011;186(1):151-155. (Retrospective; 8565 patients)
- 95. Barrett K, Braga LH, Farrokhyar F, et al. Primary realignment vs suprapubic cystostomy for the management of pelvic fracture-associated urethral injuries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Urology.* 2014;83(4):924-929. (Meta-analysis; 161 articles)
- Swanson DE, Polackwich AS, Helfand BT, et al. Penile fracture: outcomes of early surgical intervention. *Urology.* 2014;84(5):1117-1121. (Retrospective; 30 patients)
- 97. Cubillos J, Reda EF, Gitlin J, et al. A conservative approach

to testicular rupture in adolescent boys. J Urol. 2010;184(4 Suppl):1733-1738. (Prospective; 7 patients)

- Gomez RG, Storme O, Catalan G, et al. Traumatic testicular dislocation. *Int Urol Nephrol.* 2014;46(10):1883-1887. (Retrospective; 7 patients)
- Mani N, Kim L. The role of interventional radiology in urologic tract trauma. *Semin Intervent Radiol.* 2011;28(4):415-423. (Review article)
- 100. McCombie SP, Thyer I, Corcoran NM, et al. The conservative management of renal trauma: a literature review and practical clinical guideline from Australia and New Zealand. *BJU Int.* 2014;114 Suppl 1:13-21. (Practice guideline)
- Chang AJ, Brandes SB. Advances in diagnosis and management of genital injuries. *Urol Clin North Am.* 2013;40(3):427-438. (Review article)
- 102. Al-Qudah HS, Santucci RA. Complications of renal trauma. Urol Clin North Am. 2006;33(1):41-53, vi. (Practice guideline)
- 103. Tank ES, Eraklis AJ, Gross RE. Blunt abdominal trauma in infancy and childhood. *J Trauma*. 1968;8(3):439-448. (Review article)
- 104. Fallat ME, Casale AJ. Practice patterns of pediatric surgeons caring for stable patients with traumatic solid organ injury. *J Trauma.* 1997;43(5):820-824. (Retrospective; 87 respondents)
- 105. Bagga HS, Tasian GE, Fisher PB, et al. Product related adult genitourinary injuries treated at emergency departments in the United States from 2002 to 2010. *J Urol.* 2013;189(4):1362-1368. (Retrospective; 3545 patients)
- Quinlan D, Gearhart J. Blunt renal trauma in childhood. Features indicating severe injury. *Br J Urol.* 1990;66(5):526-531. (Retrospective; 50 patients)
- 107. LeeVan E, Zmora O, Cazzulino F, et al. Management of pediatric blunt renal trauma: a systematic review. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2016;80(3):519-528. (Meta-analysis; 32 articles)
- Bjurlin MA, Goble SM, Fantus RJ, et al. Outcomes in geriatric genitourinary trauma. J Am Coll Surg. 2011;213(3):415-421. (Retrospective; 9470 patients, 852 elderly)
- 109. Ku JH, Jeon YS, Kim ME, et al. Is there a role for magnetic resonance imaging in renal trauma? *Int J Urol.* 2001;8(6):261-267. (Prospective; 12 patients)
- 110. Cagini L, Gravante S, Malaspina CM, et al. Contrast enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) in blunt abdominal trauma. *Crit Ultrasound*. 2013;5(1):1. (Review article)

## **CME** Questions



Current subscribers receive CME credit absolutely free by completing the following test. Each issue includes *4 AMA PRA Category 1 Credits<sup>TM</sup>*, 4 ACEP Category I credits, 4 AAFP Prescribed credits, or 4 AOA Category 2A or 2B credits. Online testing is available for current and archived issues. To receive your free CME credits for this issue, scan the QR code below with your smartphone or visit www.ebmedicine.net/E0817.



- 1. The most frequently injured genitourinary (GU) organ from blunt trauma is the:
  - a. Kidney
  - b. Bladder
  - c. Urethra
  - d. Scrotum
- 2. Injury to what part of the GU tract is more commonly due to penetrating trauma, as opposed to blunt trauma?
  - a. Bladder
  - b. Ureter
  - c. Posterior urethra
  - d. Kidney
- 3. Which of the following historical elements is most sensitive in detecting bladder rupture?
  - a. Suprapubic discomfort
  - b. Decreased urine output
  - c. Inability to void
  - d. Gross hematuria
- 4. Gross hematuria is defined at a baseline of how many red blood cells per high-power field?
  - a. 5
  - b. 10
  - c. 30
  - d. 100
- 5. Which of the following findings on initial CT scan of the abdomen in a blunt trauma patient requires delayed excretory images 10 minutes after the administration of contrast?
  - a. Acetabular fracture
  - b. Grade III renal injury
  - c. Ureteropelvic junction hematoma
  - d. Anterior urethral disruption
- 6. A patient suffering blunt abdominal trauma complains of suprapubic pain and has gross hematuria. Initial CT of the abdomen and pelvis with IV contrast is normal. What is the best way to determine whether she has a bladder injury?
  - a. Instill dilute contrast in the bladder and perform a CT.
  - b. Clamp a Foley catheter, let her bladder distend, and perform a CT.
  - c. Wait 10 minutes after her initial IV contrast bolus and perform a CT.
  - d. Send her back for arterial phase contrast and perform a CT.

- 7. A 37-year-old man presents with penile pain that occurred during sexual intercourse, and is found to have significant ecchymosis and swelling of the penis. He is unable to urinate, and physical examination reveals blood at the urethral meatus. Which of the following is inappropriate?
  - a. Blind insertion of a urinary catheter to decompress the bladder
  - b. Contacting urology immediately
  - c. Providing analgesia
  - d. Placing a suprapubic catheter

# 8. Regarding retrograde urethrography (RUG), which statement is FALSE?

- a. It cannot be performed if a urinary catheter is already in place.
- b. It can be performed by an emergency physician.
- c. A scout image is typically obtained first.
- d. A lack of contrast entering the bladder suggests urethral transection.
- 9. Which of the following diagnoses requires immediate operative intervention?
  - a. Grade III renal laceration
  - b. Bladder injury with gross hematuria
  - c. Testicular rupture
  - d. Ureteral injury with gross hematuria
- 10. A 45-year-old man with no other past medical or surgical history sustains an isolated grade II renal injury after a fall from standing. He has no fever. What antibiotic regimen is recommended?
  - a. No antibiotics are indicated
  - b. Cephalexin for 5 days
  - c. Ciprofloxacin for 5 days
  - d. Ceftriaxone IV for 2 days and then cephalexin for 5 days

#### Physician CME Information

- Date of Original Release: August 1, 2017. Date of most recent review: July 10, 2017. Termination date: August 1, 2020.
- Accreditation: EB Medicine is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) to provide continuing medical education for physicians. This activity has been planned and implemented in accordance with the accreditation requirements and policies of the ACCME.
- Credit Designation: EB Medicine designates this enduring material for a maximum of 4 AMA PRA Category 1 Credits<sup>™</sup>. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.
- ACEP Accreditation: Emergency Medicine Practice is approved by the American College of Emergency Physicians for 48 hours of ACEP Category I credit per annual subscription.
- AAFP Accreditation: This Enduring Material activity, *Emergency Medicine Practice*, has been reviewed and is acceptable for credit by the American Academy of Family Physicians. Term of approval begins 07/01/2017. Term of approval is for one year from this date. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity. Approved for 4 AAFP Prescribed credits.
- AOA Accreditation: Emergency Medicine Practice is eligible for up to 48 American Osteopathic Association Category 2-A or 2-B credit hours per year.
- Needs Assessment: The need for this educational activity was determined by a survey of medical staff, including the editorial board of this publication; review of morbidity and mortality data from the CDC, AHA, NCHS, and ACEP; and evaluation of prior activities for emergency physicians.
- Target Audience: This enduring material is designed for emergency medicine physicians, physician assistants, nurse practitioners, and residents.
- Goals: Upon completion of this activity, you should be able to: (1) demonstrate medical decisionmaking based on the strongest clinical evidence; (2) cost-effectively diagnose and treat the most critical presentations; and (3) describe the most common medicolegal pitfalls for each topic covered.
- Objectives: Upon completion of this article, you should be able to: (1) describe common and must-not-miss forms of renal and genitourinary trauma and their associated physical examination characteristics; (2) determine appropriate diagnostic testing through systematic and thorough examination; and (3) avoid common pitfalls in the care of the adult patient with renal and urogenital trauma.
- Discussion of Investigational Information: As part of the journal, faculty may be presenting investigational information about pharmaceutical products that is outside Food and Drug Administration–approved labeling. Information presented as part of this activity is intended solely as continuing medical education and is not intended to promote off-label use of any pharmaceutical product.
- Faculty Disclosure: It is the policy of EB Medicine to ensure objectivity, balance, independence, transparency, and scientific rigor in all CME-sponsored educational activities. All faculty participating in the planning or implementation of a sponsored activity are expected to disclose to the audience any relevant financial relationships and to assist in resolving any conflict of interest that may arise from the relationship. In compliance with all ACCME Essentials, Standards, and Guidelines, all faculty for this CME activity were asked to complete a full disclosure statement. The information received is as follows: Dr. Bryant, Dr. Shewakramani, Dr. Bryce, Dr. Shaukat, Dr. Mishler, Dr. Toscano, and their related parties report no significant financial interest or other relationship with the manufacturer(s) of any commercial product(s) discussed in this educational presentation. Dr. Jagoda made the following disclosures: Consultant, Daiichi Sankyo Inc; Consultant, Pfizer Inc; Consultant, Banyan Biomarkers Inc; Consulting fees, EB Medicine.
- Commercial Support: This issue of *Emergency Medicine Practice* did not receive any commercial support.
- Earning Credit: Two Convenient Methods: (1) Go online to <u>www.ebmedicine.net/CME</u> and click on the title of the article. (2) Mail or fax the CME Answer And Evaluation Form (included with your June and December issues) to EB Medicine.
- Hardware/Software Requirements: You will need a Macintosh or PC to access the online archived articles and CME testing.
- Additional Policies: For additional policies, including our statement of conflict of interest, source of funding, statement of informed consent, and statement of human and animal rights, visit <u>www.</u> <u>ebmedicine.net/policies</u>.

CEO: Stephanie Williford Finance & HR Manager: Robin Wilkinson Publisher: Suzanne Verity Director of Editorial Quality: Dorothy Whisenhunt, MS Senior Content Editor & CME Director: Erica Scott Content Editor: Cheryl Belton, PhD, ELS Editorial Content Coordinator: Angie Wallace Director of Business Development: Jim McHaney Office Manager: Kiana Collier Account Manager: Dana Stenzel Customer Service Associate: Tracie Webb Online Marketing Manager: Marcus Snow Database Administrator: Jose Porras

Direct all inquiries to:	Subscription Information
EB Medicine Phone: 1-800-249-5770 or 1-678-366-7933 Fax: 1-770-500-1316 5550 Triangle Parkway, Suite 150 Norcross, GA 30092 E-mail: ebm@ebmedicine.net Website: www.ebmedicine.net To write a letter to the editor, please email: jagodamd@ebmedicine.net	<ul> <li>Full annual subscription: \$349 (includes 12 monthly evidence-based print issues; 48 AMA PRA Category 1 Credits<sup>TM</sup>, 48 ACEP Category I credits, 48 AAFP Prescribed credits, and 48 AOA Category 2A or 2B CME credits. Call 1-800-249-5770 or go to www.ebmedicine.net/subscribe to subscribe.</li> <li>Individual issues: \$39 (includes 4 CME credits). Call 1-800-249-5770 or go to www.ebmedicine.net/EMPissues to order.</li> <li>Group subscriptions at discounted rates are also available. Contact groups@ebmedicine.net for more information.</li> </ul>

Emergency Medicine Practice (ISSN Print: 1524-1971, ISSN Online: 1559-3908, ACID-FREE) is published monthly (12 times per year) by EB Medicine (5550 Triangle Parkway, Suite 150, Norcross, GA 30092). Opinions expressed are not necessarily those of this publication. Mention of products or services does not constitute endorsement. This publication is intended as a general guide and is intended to supplement, rather than substitute, professional judgment. It covers a highly technical and complex subject and should not be used for making specific medical decisions. The materials contained herein are not intended to establish policy, procedure, or standard of care. Copyright © 2017 EB Medicine. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any format without written consent of EB Medicine. This publication is intended for the use of the individual subscriber only and may not be copied in whole or part or redistributed in any way without the publisher's prior written permission.